Streamlining IPAC Surveillance with a Centralized, Customizable, and Interactive Data Platform

Introduction

- Infection Prevention and Control (IPAC) surveillance is essential for reducing healthcare-associated infections [1].
- Manual surveillance practices can be time-consuming, error-prone, and difficult to manage [2].
- Need identified for a centralized repository to integrate data from multiple sources [3].

The Solution

- Web application framework combining statistical programming, intuitive visualizations, and interactive dashboards.
- Automatic classification algorithms for identifying healthcare-associated infections.
- Customized to the specific needs of the healthcare sector.
- Trialed on COVID-19 daily reporting.
- Open source/modular framework to extend to all infections type (i.e. CDI, MRSA, ESBL, VRE).
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Definitions

To ensure clarity and facilitate understanding throughout this report, please reference the key terms below:

Average Number of Reviews

\[ \bar{r} = \frac{100 \text{ (reviews per day)}}{7 \text{ (days)}} \]

Rate of Patient Review

\[ \bar{r} = \frac{\text{patient reviews}}{\text{time to complete in hours}} \]

Rate of patient review refers to the number of patient reviews that can be completed per hour.

Average Patient Review Rate

\[ \bar{r} = \bar{r} \]

The average patient review rate is calculated by taking the sum of 1 week worth of \( \bar{r} \), patient review rates and dividing by 5 days (7 work weeks).

Time of completion

\[ t = \frac{1}{\bar{r}} \]

The following equation calculates the time required to complete \( r \) (100 reviews). Where \( \bar{r} \) refers to the average patient review rate.

Percent Improvement

\[ \epsilon_i = \left( \frac{\bar{r}_{i+1} - \bar{r}_i}{\bar{r}_i} \right) \times 100\% \]

The following equations describe the percent change between an initial and final rate of performance.

Results

- \( \bar{r} = 2000 \text{ pts/hr} \)
- \( \bar{r} = 0.65 \text{ hours/100 pts} \)
- \( M \times \bar{r} = 15,000 \text{ pts/7.5 hrs} \)
- \( \epsilon_i = 4900\% \) (or 50x more efficient).

Our application showcased exceptional efficiency, processing an average of 2000 patients per hour and completing 100 reviews in just 0.05 hours. Despite a bottleneck at 15,000 patients over 7.5 hours, the system’s overall efficiency increased by 4900%, making it 50 times more efficient than traditional methods. This significant improvement underscores the system’s potential to revolutionize IPAC surveillance.

Data Visualization

The data visualizations below are meant to demonstrate the potential of this system but do not include any real patient or surveillance data.

- This visualization provides a summary of infection cases by fiscal period and classification. It shows the number of cases in each fiscal period, differentiated by classification type. Two horizontal dashed lines represent the average number of cases and a calculated ‘trigger’ value. The average line gives a baseline for comparison, while the ‘trigger’ line, calculated as two standard deviations above the average, indicates a potential alert level for unusually high case numbers.
- This line graph illustrates the rate of healthcare associated infections (in this case COVID-19) cases per fiscal quarter. The y-axis shows the calculated rate, which is the number of cases per 10,000 patient-days. A horizontal dashed line represents the rate target of 3.0, providing a reference point for comparison. This visualization helps in monitoring the progression of the infection rate over time, facilitating the identification of trends and the evaluation of infection control measures.

Findings

- Our investigation revealed that the automated surveillance system significantly contributed to the enhancement of IPAC surveillance and optimization of data management procedures. The design, which focuses on flexibility, is particularly well-tailored to the fluctuating demands of the healthcare sector. Encapsulated within a user-friendly interface, the automated procedure utilizes an advanced algorithm to maintain consistent performance and support automatic case classification.
- The application’s open-source functionality stimulates innovation and broad customization, potentially reshaping IPAC practices. Its adaptable structure allows expansion to monitor various infections such as CDI, MRSA, ESBL, VRE, SSIs, Influenza, and RSV. Notably, it’s applicable in both acute and long-term care facilities.
- Across all infections, the application consistently improved data efficiency, accuracy, and transparency. Customizable data visualization enabled swift trend identification, infection rate tracking, and evidence-based prevention decisions.
- These results highlight the application’s potential as a comprehensive IPAC surveillance tool, transforming data management across diverse infectious diseases.

Conclusion

Overall, our study demonstrates the potential of our application to support IPAC surveillance and data management practices. By consolidating and standardizing IPAC surveillance tools into a single platform, we can help reduce the risk of errors and better support staff, promoting patient safety, with well-informed decision-making. Our investigation revealed that the automated surveillance system significantly contributed to the enhancement of IPAC surveillance and optimization of data management procedures. The design, which focuses on flexibility, is particularly well-tailored to the fluctuating demands of the healthcare sector. Encapsulated within a user-friendly interface, the automated procedure utilizes an advanced algorithm to maintain consistent performance and support automatic case classification.

- Across all infections, the application consistently improved data efficiency, accuracy, and transparency. Customizable data visualization enabled swift trend identification, infection rate tracking, and evidence-based prevention decisions.
- These results highlight the application’s potential as a comprehensive IPAC surveillance tool, transforming data management across diverse infectious diseases.
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